Functional response and particle size selection of *Halteria cf. grandinella*, a common freshwater oligotrichous ciliate
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ABSTRACT: In laboratory experiments, we studied the growth and feeding characteristics of *Halteria cf. grandinella*, a common and widespread oligotrichous ciliate in freshwater plankton. Particle-size-dependent feeding rates were measured with fluorescent latex microspheres (0.22 to 4.23 µm diameter) and natural food organisms (bacteria, *Synechococcus* sp., *Chlorella minutissima*) in short-term feeding experiments. *H. cf. grandinella* ingested all but the smallest (0.22 µm) particles offered and demonstrated a concentration dependent type-2 functional response. Maximum clearance rates were obtained with 2.76 µm latex beads (0.6 µl h⁻¹). Clearance rates declined for smaller and larger particle sizes but even with the suboptimal size classes, 0.47 and 1 µm, high maximal ingestion rates were measured (19 and 13 beads ciliate⁻¹ min⁻¹, respectively). The maximum clearance rates for bacteria and algae were close to those of similar sized latex beads, and no discrimination of particles based on properties other than size could be detected. The physiological state of the ciliates did not seem to have an impact on the relative pattern of the particle-size-dependent functional response curves, but the absolute feeding rates decreased nearly 10-fold after prolonged starvation. The experiments demonstrated that this small filter-feeding ciliate is an omnivorous species which is able to efficiently exploit the planktonic prey size spectrum from 0.5 to 5 µm, covering heterotrophic and autotrophic pico- and nanoplanckton in the diet.
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INTRODUCTION

Mainly due to studies within the last 10 to 15 yr, we now have a much better picture of the structure and function of freshwater, pelagic ciliate communities, as well as of the autecology of certain taxa. Ciliates are now recognized as a major component of the microzooplankton and often constitute a significant portion of total zooplankton biomass and grazing impact (Pace & Orcutt 1981, Taylor & Heynen 1987, Beaver & Crisman 1989, Weisse et al. 1990). It has become evident that planktonic ciliates in temperate lakes are generally dominated by small (<30 µm) species, mainly of the orders Prostomatida and Oligotrichida (Müller 1989, Carrick & Fahrenstiel 1990, Macek et al. 1996, Carrias et al. 1998). Particularly oligotrichs are present with mixotrophic and heterotrophic species across the whole trophic continuum (Laybourn-Parry 1994).

Whereas the grazing impact of ciliates on bacteria and phytoplankton has been repeatedly demonstrated in marine studies (Burkill et al. 1993, Verity et al. 1993), relatively few quantitative data are available from freshwater systems. Prostomatids, which are selective raptorial feeders, have been shown to temporarily exert a substantial grazing pressure on certain phytoplankton taxa (Weisse et al. 1990, Sommaruga & Psen-
ner 1993). In contrast, filter-feeding oligotrichs have been mainly found as significant grazers on autotrophic picoplankton (Sanders et al. 1989, Šimek et al. 1995, 2000, Stabell 1996). The fact that naked oligotrichs have been identified as important bacterial feeders in marine (Sherr & Sherr 1987) and limnetic (Šimek et al. 1995, 2000, in this issue) habitats challenged the original concept in which ciliates were regarded as unimportant bacterial consumers in pelagic habitats, due to their dependence on higher bacterial concentrations (Fenchel 1980a,b). This concept was developed, however, from studies on obligate bacterivorous species, whereas oligotrichous ciliates are probably omnivorous, as they feed on a wider prey size spectrum and do not depend on bacteria only. This has been supported by studies on cultured marine oligotrichs, mainly from the genus Strombidium (Jonsson 1986, Fenchel & Jonsson 1988, Bernard & Rassoulzadegan 1990).

Only few data on growth and feeding of small freshwater oligotrichous ciliates are available. The genera Halteria and Strobilidium (or Rimostrombidium) have been identified as numerically important taxa among the small oligotrichs in lake plankton (Müller 1989, Sanders et al. 1989, Šimek et al. 1995, Macek et al. 1996, Jürgens et al. 1999). Grazing measurements with fluorescently labelled picoplankton revealed exceptionally high feeding rates on bacteria and picoautotrophs by the ciliate Halteria, most probably H. grandinella (Sanders et al. 1989, Šimek et al. 1995, Stabell 1996), making this species temporarily the most important protistan bacterial consumer (Šimek et al. 2000). Grazing rates on phytoplankton except picocyanobacteria have not been measured for Halteria, but growth of cultured Halteria sp. on phytoplankton (Skogstad et al. 1987) and relatively high clearance rates on heterotrophic nanoflagellates (Cleven 1996, Jürgens et al. 1996) imply that it extends its prey size spectrum into the nanoplanктон size range.

Some uncertainties remain with regard to the taxonomic status of different species of halteriids (Foissner 1994). Recently it has been reported that the genus Halteria sensu lato comprises several rather similar species (summarized in Foissner et al. 1999). H. grandinella Müller is likely the most important species, but H. bifurcata Tamar and Pelagohalteria cirrifera Kahl are quite similar, and can be differentiated from their somatic ciliature in silver impregnations (Foissner et al. 1999). These heterotrophic halteriids seem to be a widespread and common ciliate group from oligotrophic to eutrophic freshwater systems (Šimek et al. 2000). Another related species, which has been described in detail based on protargol impregnations (Foissner et al. 1999), is the mixotrophic Pelagohalteria viridis, which can be temporarily more abundant (Jürgens et al. 1994, Macek et al. 1996, Carrias et al. 1998) and has been reported as an efficient picoplankton feeder as well (Šimek et al. 1996).

Halteria grandinella has also been studied with respect to behaviour (Tamar 1979) and vulnerability towards metazooplankton (Archbold & Berger 1985, Gilbert 1994). Little information is available with regard to feeding rates and prey size spectrum. Only Fenchel (1986), in a comparison of various ciliates species, included data points from H. grandinella showing the uptake of particles <1 µm.

The goal of the present study was to examine growth and feeding behaviour of Halteria cf. grandinella, to be able to make predictions about the prey spectrum and the potential grazing impact on planktonic bacteria and algae. For this we performed growth and short-term ingestion experiments with a culture of H. cf. grandinella and various natural and artificial food particles. In conjunction with a second paper, which summarizes field data on abundance and grazing rates of Halteria spp. in different pelagic systems (Šimek et al. 2000), these data are used to suggest a revised concept of planktonic ciliate bacterivory, where the principal role is attributed to small omnivorous filter-feeding oligotrichous ciliates (Šimek et al. 2000).

**METHODS**

An oligotrichous ciliate, resembling descriptions of Halteria grandinella, was isolated from a mesotrophic lake in northern Germany (Schöösee). However, because we did not examine the ciliate in silver impregnations, and due to the fact that 2 other very similar species, H. bifurcata and Pelagohalteria cirrifera, have been found in various European lakes (Foissner pers. comm.), we do not have a definitive species identification. Ciliates were maintained in multiclonal cultures on WC medium (Guillard & Lorenzen 1972) and fed with either the cryptomonad Rhodomonas minuta (5–6 x 3–4 µm in size) or, as another stock culture, with the green algae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (3–4 µm diameter). Both algae cultures were not axenic and accompanying bacteria (2–5 x 10⁶ ml⁻¹) and detritus served as potential additional food sources for the ciliates.

**Growth experiments.** Stock cultures, as well as all experimental incubations, were located in a climate room (16.5 ± 0.5°C) under dim light. Growth experiments were performed in batch culture conditions. A numerical response was determined with Rhodomonas minuta only, whereas with Chlamydomonas reinhardtii the growth rate was measured at a saturating food concentration. Ciliates were inoculated from cultures grown on R. minuta as food algae. Changes
in the number of ciliates and prey were followed by sampling at regular time intervals for several days. Ciliates and algae were fixed in Lugol’s solution and counted in a sedimenting chamber. Ciliate growth rates (µ, h−1) were calculated for the initial phase of exponential growth, covering 3 to 4 points per food concentration.

**Digestion experiments.** We measured ingestion and digestion rates of 3 potentially important food items for *Halteria grandinella in situ*, i.e., hetero- and auto-trophic picoplankton, and small algae that have been frequently found in food vacuoles of natural populations of this ciliate in 2 reservoirs (for details see Šimek et al. 2000). These food items were: (1) a mixed assemblage of heat-killed, fluorescently labelled bacteria (FLB, mean cell volume 0.15 µm², grown in a carbon-limited chemostat and prepared according to Sherr et al. 1987); (2) a culture of *Synechococcus* sp. (strain 8809, isolated from Lake Constance by A. Ernst), with a mean cell volume (live) of 1.02 µm³, length of 1.99 µm, and width of 0.83 µm; and (3) a culture of *Chlorella minutissima* (isolated from Plußsee by B. Meyer) with a mean cell volume (live) of 7.12 µm³, and a diameter of 2.33 µm (range 1.7 to 3.1 µm). All cell size measurements were carried out from pictures taken with a CCD camera connected to the microscope, and measured with an automated image analysis system (SIS GmbH, Münster, Germany) after an image processing procedure (edge finding, digitalisation, etc.) similar to the one described by Massana et al. (1997). The prey items were added at a concentration of 6.1 × 10⁴ ml⁻¹ (FLB), 4.5 × 10⁴ ml⁻¹ (live *Synechococcus* sp.), and 2.3 × 10⁴ ml⁻¹ (live *Chlorella* sp.). All the experiments, except the one with FLB, were run in 2 replicates at 16°C. Samples were first fixed with alkaline Lugol’s solution (2% final conc.) and 5 min later postfixed with formaldehyde (2% final conc.) (Sherr et al. 1989). The Lugol’s colour was later cleared by adding a few drops of 3% thiosulfate. Appropriate volumes of each sample were filtered on black 3 µm polycarbonate filters (Millipore), stained with DAPI (Porter & Feig 1980), and the number of ingested food items of 40 to 60 ciliates counted in an epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axioskop). Live *Synechococcus* sp. and *Chlorella minutissima* could be clearly distinguished and counted in food vacuoles by their pigment autofluorescence. We calculated ingestion rates as the slope of the linear regression of average number of prey items per cell versus time (based on 5 to 6 time points). After exposing the ciliates to the prey items for 60 to 90 min, they were diluted 1:100 with sterile culture medium. Thereafter 5 to 6 h samples were taken and processed as described above to determine digestion of the prey items from changes in vacuole contents using fluorescence microscopy (for details see Dolan & Šimek 1997). Digestion rates were calculated as the slopes of the linear regression of log (% time zero prey per cell) on the basis of 7 to 8 points. Multiplying the slope by 100 gives an exponential digestion rate constant, *K*, in units of % min⁻¹ (Dolan & Šimek 1997). Based on *K*, an expected halftime of cell content, *t½c*, was estimated by calculating the time (in minutes) required for a 50% decline in cell content (Dolan & Šimek 1997).

**Particle uptake experiments.** Particle size selection and clearance rates were determined in short-term uptake experiments. To avoid strong interference of food particles, ciliates were taken from cultures at the end of the exponential phase when food concentrations were generally reduced to levels below 10⁴ algae ml⁻¹. In one case, a ciliate culture, after prolonged (>5 d) starvation with a food concentration below 2 × 10³ algae ml⁻¹, was also used for the experiments. The condition of the ciliates (motility, filtration activity) was always checked in live observations (dark field microscopy) before the experiments. The nutritional state of the ciliates was characterized by the ambient food concentration and the amount of algae visible in the food vacuoles.

To examine the effect of particle size on the ingestion kinetics, we used fluorescent latex microspheres of 0.22, 0.47, 1.0, 1.8, 2.73, and 4.23 µm diameter (Polyscience, Inc.). We intended to obtain the whole functional response curve for each particle size: the slope with rapidly increasing ingestion rates at low particle concentrations and saturated, maximal uptake rates at high concentrations. Therefore ciliates were incubated together with a series of 6 to 7 different concentrations of each microsphere size (in duplicate trials), in approximately geometrically increasing concentrations. We used an incubation time between 3 and 10 min, depending on particle concentration, to allow ingested beads in the food vacuoles to be counted precisely. Samples were fixed and processed as described above for bacteria and algae as prey particles. Uptake rates were fitted numerically to a hyperbolic function using the software Sigmaplot (SPSS Inc., CA, USA) and maximum ingestion rates (Iₘₐₓ) and half-saturation constants (Kₛ) were obtained from the curve fit. Maximum clearance rate (Cₘₐₓ) was calculated by dividing Iₘₐₓ by Kₛ.

In order to examine whether the ingestion of artificial particles is influenced by the presence of approximately similar sized natural prey particles, we performed uptake experiments with 1:1 mixtures of the pairs *Synechococcus* sp./1 µm beads (4–5 × 10⁴ ml⁻¹) and *Chlorella minutissima* /2.13 µm beads (4–5 × 10⁴ ml⁻¹), and determined the ingestion rates for dual and single prey additions. The concentrations used were still in the linear increasing part of the functional
response curves. For the comparison of single and dual prey trials we calculated the clearance rate for each particle type. Selection experiments were repeated for 3 cultures in different states of food satiation, characterized by the amount of algae in food vacuoles. All statistical analyses were performed with the software package Statistica (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

RESULTS

Growth of Halteria cf. grandinella

Long-term cultures of Halteria cf. grandinella could be maintained on the 2 flagellated phytoplankton species Rhodomonas minuta and Chlamydomonas reinhardii. The size range of Halteria cf. grandinella cells in our stock cultures was quite variable even when there was sufficient algae food. Live measurements yielded the following results (mean ± SD, range, N = 50): width = 18.7 ± 1.6 µm, 15.3 to 21.5 µm; length = 20.3 ± 1.6 µm, 16.9 to 25.9 µm; volume = 3754 ± 890 µm³, 2303 to 5840 µm³. This size range was shifted moderately towards larger sizes (mean length = 21 to 22 µm) in freshly transferred and exponentially growing cultures and towards smaller cell sizes (mean length = 18 to 19 µm) in starving cultures.

Best growth was on Rhodomonas minuta, and the concentration-dependent growth rates showed a typical hyperbolic numerical response (Fig. 1). Maximum growth rates were observed between 0.5 and 1.0 × 10⁶ algae cells ml⁻¹. The estimated parameters from the fitted hyperbolic function were 0.06 h⁻¹ (T₂ = 12 h) for the maximum growth rate (μ_max) and 1.3 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ for Kᵣ. Growth rates for Chlamydomonas reinhardii were lower, with μ_max at saturating food concentrations (>1 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹) of ca 0.04 h⁻¹ (T₂ = 17 h). However, for all particle uptake experiments, Halteria cf. grandinella was derived from cultures fed with C. reinhardii, as our stock culture of R. minuta had collapsed at that time.

We tried to raise Halteria cf. grandinella on other food organisms including mixed and pure cultures of bacteria, different strains of Synechococcus sp., and heterotrophic nanoflagellates. Although H. cf. grandinella could be maintained for several days on other food sources without a population decline, we were not successful in obtaining significant growth for a longer period on food organisms other than the 2 phytoflagellates Rhodomonas minuta and Chlamydomonas reinhardii. There were indications, however, that accompanying bacteria in the algae cultures were used as additional food sources. Bacterial concentration was generally reduced to 1–2 × 10⁶ ml⁻¹, and bacteria were relatively homogenous in morphology, indicating that H. cf. grandinella substantially grazed on bacteria. For several cases where we analyzed the bacterial size structure in Halteria cultures with the image analysis system, bacteria were dominated by rods with a mean size of ca 1 × 0.5 µm and a mean volume in the range of 0.12 to 0.16 µm³. A higher morphological diversity and a larger mean cell volume (>0.2 µm³) was always observed in algal stock cultures without ciliates (data not shown).

Digestion experiments

Fig. 2 shows the results of ingestion and digestion experiments with Halteria cf. grandinella exposed to heat-killed FLB, live Synechococcus sp., and live Chlorella sp. Based on grazing rates over the first hour when uptake was roughly linear, calculated clearance rates (in nl ind⁻¹ h⁻¹) were 181 for FLB, 183 for Synechococcus sp, and 173 for Chlorella sp. Data from digestion experiments documented declines in food vacuole contents of H. cf. grandinella with time after cultures were diluted 1:100 with particle-freed culture medium. Slopes of the exponential declines of Synechococcus sp. and Chlorella sp. (Fig. 2B) were not significantly different (ANCOVA, F-test, p < 0.05), and only slightly different from the slope of decrease of FLB in food vacuoles (p > 0.05). Digestion rate parameters given in Table 1 indicate the longest half-time of the ciliate vacuole content for FLB (128 min), compared to the significantly shorter half-times estimated for Synechococcus sp. (84 min) and Chlorella sp. (67 min) cells.
Particle-size-dependent functional response

Feeding experiments with our natural food particles, bacteria (FLB), picocyanobacteria (*Synechococcus* sp.), and nano-sized algae (*Chlorella minutissima*), revealed that all were readily ingested, and their uptake was linear for up to 1 h (Fig. 2A). For uptake experiments with latex microspheres, we tried to keep the incubation times as short as possible to avoid the effects of possible particle rejection or satiation. No significant uptake could be detected for 0.22 µm spheres, whereas for all other size classes a typical type-2 functional response was measured with linear increasing ingestion rates in the lower concentration range and maximal and satiated uptake rates at high concentrations.

Fig. 3 shows only the uptake rates and the fitted curves for the lower particle concentrations to better illustrate size-dependent differences. This is also the relevant concentration range for *in situ* conditions, and the initial slope in uptake rates corresponds to the affinity (and the maximum clearance rate) of the grazers to the respective particle type (Fenchel 1980c). The steepest increase in uptake rates was observed for 2.76 µm beads, with gradually decreasing slopes for
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**Table 1.** *Halteria cf. grandinella*, summary of results from digestion experiments. Prey items were heat-killed, fluorescently labelled bacteria (FLB), live *Synechococcus* sp., and live *Chlorella minutissima*. Cell contents are the average number of prey per ciliate cell at the beginning of the digestion period. N: number of time-course samples (see Fig. 2). R-values are based on the linear regression of ln (% cell content) versus time; probability levels given as **p = 0.001.** K: digestion rate in % min⁻¹, SE: standard error. Prey *t₁/₂* estimated half-life in minutes of the ciliate food vacuole contents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prey item</th>
<th>Cell contents</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>No. of <em>H. cf. grandinella</em> inspected per sample</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>K (SE)</th>
<th>Prey <em>t₁/₂</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLB</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.918***</td>
<td>0.83 (0.08)</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synechococcus</em> sp.</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>0.941***</td>
<td>0.54 (0.07)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chlorella minutissima</em></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.958***</td>
<td>1.03 (0.10)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. (A) Data from ingestion/digestion experiments showing increase of food vacuole content of *Halteria cf. grandinella* with time following addition of fluorescently labelled bacteria (FLB), live *Synechococcus* sp., and live *Chlorella minutissima*. Declines in food vacuole contents after dilution at 1:100 (marked by arrows), at time 60 min for FLB and *Synechococcus* sp., and at time 90 min for *C. minutissima* cells, indicate digestion of the food items with time (*C. minutissima, Synechococcus* sp.; means and ranges of 2 replicate trials, FLB: single trial). (B) Linear regression of decrease in ingested food items with time. Digestion rate parameters are given in Table 2.

Fig. 3. Initial slopes of the functional response curves for *Halteria cf. grandinella* with particles of different diameters (FM: fluorescent microspheres). The lines are numerically fitted to a hyperbolic function (for the whole range of particle concentrations). Estimated parameters for maximum uptake rate (*I*₉₀) and *K* are given in Table 2. Symbols and error bars are means and ranges of 2 replicate trials.
smaller and larger (4.2 µm) particle sizes (Fig. 3). However, maximum ingestion rates were recorded for the smallest particle sizes, with ca 19 (0.47 µm) and 13 (1 µm) particles ciliate⁻¹ min⁻¹, respectively (Table 2).

There was a large heterogeneity in particle uptake rates among the Halteria cf. grandinella population. For 1 µm beads for example, uptake at saturating concentrations was between 0 and 30 beads ciliate⁻¹ min⁻¹. The parameters $I_{\text{max}}$, $K_s$, and $C_{\text{max}}$ determined from the functional response, are therefore only average values for the whole population. To reveal the maximal feeding potential of H. cf. grandinella, we considered only the 10% of the population with the highest uptake rates. These highest uptake rates also could be fitted to a hyperbolic function, except for the 1 µm beads, for which no saturation of ingestion rates was observed. The calculated $C_{\text{max}}$ are 2 to 3 times higher than the average $C_{\text{max}}$ and even 8 times higher in the case of the 2.76 µm beads, the most efficiently ingested particle size (Table 2).

Maximum clearance rates followed a unimodal function of particle diameter with an optimum at the particle size 2.13 µm (Fig. 4). For comparison, we included the calculated maximal clearance rates for the natural prey particles, obtained from uptake experiments at low particle concentrations. Although these food organisms are not exactly spherical, when positioned according to their equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) their clearance rates fit into the overall shape of the efficiency curve determined from the microsphere uptake experiments (Fig. 4).

The difference in the uptake rates between the particle sizes 1, 1.8 and 2.76 µm becomes more visible when expressed as particle volume (Fig. 5A). In this case, the calculated ingestion, at similar particle concentration, is 3 to 4 times higher for 2.76 µm than for 1 µm particles, with 1.8 µm beads in an intermediate position. The relative pattern of these functional response curves could be repeated with a Halteria cf. grandinella culture of an inferior physiological state, obtained after several days of starvation. These ciliates ingested all particle sizes in a similar order of efficiency to the cells from an exponentially growing culture, but with nearly 10-fold reduced uptake rates (Fig. 5B). Cell volume of long-term starved ciliates was reduced to about 60% of that of cells from cultures starved for a short period. These drastically reduced ingestion rates were not due to an increased proportion of cells with zero uptake, but due to reduced individual ingestion rates. This is exemplified for one concentration of 1.8 µm beads in Fig. 6. Whereas more than 70% of ciliates with a better physiological state had ingested 10 or more microspheres (Fig. 6A), the main portion of the starved cells had ingested only 1 to 7 microspheres (Fig. 6B). The frequency distribution of the number of ingested beads generally followed a Normal distribution for higher particle concentrations, and a Poisson distribution when using low particle concentrations.

### Table 2. Summary of bead ingestion experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter (µm)</th>
<th>$I_{\text{max}}$ (particles ciliate⁻¹ min⁻¹)</th>
<th>$K_s$ (10⁵ particles ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{max}}$ (µl ciliate⁻¹ h⁻¹)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{max}}$ (10 %) (µl ciliate⁻¹ h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>19.1 (5.3)</td>
<td>78.0 (34.6)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>32.3 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>13.4 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>5.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>5.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>27.5 (6.2)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Particle selection experiment

In dual prey ingestion experiments, Halteria cf. grandinella was offered artificial and natural prey particles simultaneously (approx. 1:1 ratio, non-saturating food concentrations), and the clearance rates were compared to single prey experiments. This should give evidence concerning whether there is an interference between different particles due, for example, to selection behaviour. This was done with 3 Halteria cf. grandinella cultures of different nutritional states, characterized by the amount of algae in the food vacuoles. One culture (A) was well fed (3.2 algae ciliate\(^{-1}\); 78% of ciliates with ingested algae), one was at the end of the exponential phase (B; 1.3 algae ciliate\(^{-1}\), 59% with ingested algae), and one was starved (C; 0.2 algae ciliate\(^{-1}\), 17% with ingested algae). The interference of similar sized artificial or natural prey particles on the ingestion rates of each other seemed to be rather low (Fig. 7). In only 2 of the paired prey additions (Synechococcus sp., Halteria cf. grandinella cultures A and B) were the calculated clearance rates significantly higher compared to single prey additions (\(t\)-test, \(p < 0.05\)). The other differences in clearance rates between dual and single prey additions were not significant. However, the overall variability between the 3 replicate trials for measuring ingestion rates might have been too high to detect small differences. The mean coefficient of variation for all uptake experiments shown in Fig. 7 (\(n = 18\)) was 14%, with a range of 2 to 35%.

DISCUSSION

Particle-size-dependent feeding efficiency

The suitability of artificial particles for examining size-dependent feeding efficiencies in filter-feeding
feeding rates drop when ciliates become gradually steady-state feeding rates. It has been shown that consumption potential of the ciliates, rather than as these rates must be interpreted as a maximum con-
terences which have a high feeding capacity. Therefore, ciliates were taken from short-term starved cul-
tions in order to measure uptake rates precisely. Fur-
measured feeding rates, we used short-term incuba-
tions in many other comparable experimental studies which

Food satiated (Pfister & Arndt 1998), and the extrapolation to hourly feeding rates would probably, at least for
the large particle sizes, overestimate grazing rates. In situ measurements of picoplankton ingestion and clearance rates of H. cf. grandinella are, however, close to the ones measured here for the small particle sizes (e.g., up to 1600–3000 bacteria ind.\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\); Šimek et al. 1995, Table 1 in Šimek et al. 2000).

Our results clearly show that Halteria cf. grandinella is able to ingest a particle size range from larger sized bacteria (0.5 µm diameter) to nano-sized algae (4.2 µm). The decline of clearance rates between 0.5 and 0.2 µm indicates that probably only the smallest planktonic bacteria cannot be retained by H. cf. gran-
dinella. The maximum feeding efficiency is achieved for particles in the size range 2 to 3 µm, which indicates an adaptation towards small algae, including pico-
cyanobacteria and eucaryotic picoalgae, as preferred food particles.

The spherical microspheres are not directly compa-
rable with the shapes of bacteria and algae, and the size spectra of naturally ingested particles might devi-
ate from the one characterizing bead uptake. We would expect that for natural particles the ingestion efficiency would be shifted slightly towards smaller particle volumes, as the maximum diameter (and not ESD) might determine retention efficiency. The fact that the clearance rates which we determined for FLB, Synechococcus sp. and Chlorella minutissima fit into the overall particle size spectra implies, however, that these spectra are a good estimation of the overall par-
ticle retention ability of H. cf. grandinella.

The particle size preference of Halteria cf. grandi-
nella is comparable to those found for small marine oligotrichous ciliates. The maximum clearance rate for a cultured Strombidium vestitum (volume = 8900 µm\(^3\)) was obtained with 2.11 µm beads (Jonsson 1986) and for Strombidium sulcatum (volume = 6500 to 8900 µm\(^3\)) with 2.83 µm beads (Fenchel & Jonsson 1988), or with 2.5 µm sized algae (Bernard & Rassoulzadegan 1990). For a 20 µm Strombidium sp. in field samples from the Baltic Sea, preferred particle size was 1.4 to 2.8 µm (Kivi & Setälä 1995).

The maximum clearance rates for Halteria cf. grandinella (with 2.76 µm beads) are ca 10 times lower than those determined for Strombidium sulcatum (Bernard & Rassoulzadegan 1990) or for small, marine oligotrichs in field samples (Kivi & Setälä 1995). However, considering the most actively ingesting portion of the H. cf. grandinella population, a C\(_{\text{max}}\) of 4.9 µl ciliate \(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) (with 2.76 µm beads) would be comparable to the rates reported for small oligotrichs in the literature.

We did not find evidence for selective prey uptake by Halteria cf. grandinella based on properties other than particle size. The fact that the presence of other
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prey particles in the dual prey ingestion experiments did not result in significant changes in ingestion rates (Fig. 7) supports the view that H. cf. grandinella is an unselective filter feeder. Fenchel (1980a,b) showed that the basis for particle size selection in polyhy- menophoran ciliates is the morphological structure of the mouth apparatus in which the space between the membranelles determines the minimum particle size which can be retained.

Prey size is certainly the most important property which determines feeding efficiency. However, several studies with marine oligotrichs revealed that qualita- tively different particles of similar size are ingested at different rates (Verity 1991, Christaki et al. 1998) and uptake rates of filter-feeding ciliates might be modu- lated by other particle attributes such as surface charge or chemical cues (Sanders 1988, Stoecker 1988, Christaki et al. 1998). However, the capability for active selection among different particles is very re- stricted in filter-feeding oligotrichs. For an interpre- tation of observed differences in uptake rates, the potential differences in encounter rates, handling times, and digestion efficiencies must also be consid- ered (Verity 1991). True active selection behaviour has been found only in the tintinnid Favella, which can actively reject unfavourable particles from the peristomial cavity (Taniguchi & Takeda 1988, Stoecker et al. 1995). An adaptation of feeding behaviour might be seen when the filtration activity (movement of the responsible membranelles) can be directly measured (e.g., by high-speed video recording).

Feeding heterogeneity in Halteria cf. grandinella populations

We observed a large heterogeneity in individual feeding rates, with the maximum clearance and inges- tion rates being several-fold higher for the most actively feeding part of the population (Table 2). This heterogeneity might be due to the coexistence of physiologically different clones, or to ciliates in different phases of the cell cycle, with possibly different physiological states. The highest particle uptake rates were found with cells at the end of the exponential growth phase shortly after food depletion, but not after longer periods of starvation (Fig. 6). The impact of the physiological state on feeding heterogeneity has been studied in the ciliate Tetrahymena pyri- formis (Hatzis et al. 1994). In that study, comparable to results with Halteria cf. grandinella, highest clearance rates and highest heterogeneity were found dur- ing short-term starvation, whereas clearance rates were reduced and more uniform for stationary phase populations.

If we take into account that bacteria and detritus also serve as potential food sources, then the batch culture conditions are not as defined as the algal food concen- tration alone might suggest. Even more pronounced heterogeneity, which cannot be explained only by dif- ferences in ambient water temperature, was found in feeding rates of natural populations of Halteria cf. grandinella in 2 eutrophic reservoirs (Šimek et al. 2000). The heterogeneity in feeding rates did not affect the conclusions on the prey size spectrum of H. cf. grandinella, as this was independent of the feeding rates (Fig. 5). For the absolute values of the ingestion and clearance rates we have to be aware, however, that they depend on different factors and can vary con- siderably even within the same population.

In experimental studies with cultured protists, it is becoming increasingly evident that many autecologi- cal characters, especially feeding-related parameters, depend on the culturing history and the physiological state of the organisms. It has been shown that feeding rates (Zubkov & Sleigh 1996), chemosensory response (Snyder 1991) and food selectivity (Jürgens & DeMott 1995) change with the physiological state of the graz- ers. In addition to the impact of the physiological and nutritional state on the feeding characteristics, inter- clonal differences occur, which have been demon- strated for several ciliate taxa with respect to growth rates (Pérez-Uz 1995).

Growth and food requirements of Halteria cf. grandinella

The estimated maximum growth rate of Halteria cf. grandinella (1.44 d⁻¹, at 16.5°C), when growing on Rhodomonas minuta, is within the range found for in situ growth for this ciliate species. Maximal observed net growth rates of H. cf. grandinella in predator exclusion experiments were 0.80 d⁻¹ in the meso-eutrophic Řimov Reservoir (T = 20°C) (Macek et al. 1996), and 1.64 to 1.9 d⁻¹ in a hypertrophic, shallow lake (T = 21 to 23°C) (Jürgens et al. 1999, for a summary see also Table 2 in Šimek et al. 2000).

In contrast to the marine oligotrophic Strombidium sulcatum (Rivier et al. 1985), Halteria cf. grandinella could not be maintained on a pure bacterial diet. This is in accordance with the study by Skogstad et al. (1987), who could maintain a Halteria sp. (a species with algal endosymbionts) on a variety of phytoplank- ton species, with best growth on cryptomonads, but not on heterotrophic bacteria or cyanobacteria. How- ever, Taylor (1978) was able to grow a Halteria species on a pure bacterial culture. From an energetic point of view, there is no reason why growth of H. cf. gran- dinella is not supported by (large-sized) heterotrophic
bacteria or *Synechococcus* sp. at high food concentrations. By using the ingestion rate data with 1 µm beads and an assumed gross growth efficiency of 30%, we calculated that, at a food concentration of \(10^7\) picoplankton cells ml\(^{-1}\), *H. cf. grandinella* should be able to achieve a population doubling time of ca 30 h (Simek et al. 2000). Similar conclusions were made from bacterial ingestion data in field experiments (Simek et al. 1996).

However, besides the carbon input rates, the digestion and assimilation efficiency might also vary between different food particles. From our ingestion/digestion experiments with bacteria, cyanobacteria, and algae, we did not obtain strong evidence for a differential use of algae and bacteria, although the digestion rates were slightly lower for FLB (Fig. 2). Digestion efficiency can vary, however, for different bacterial strains and FLB might deviate in this respect from live bacteria. Biochemical growth limitation (e.g., by essential fatty acids) has been demonstrated for mesozooplankton (Müller-Navarra 1995), and the lack of essential compounds might also be a reason for the inadequacy of bacteria to enable growth of *Halteria cf. grandinella*. Thus, while algal food may not necessarily be the dominant source of carbon for *H. cf. grandinella* under natural plankton conditions (Simek et al. 2000), it seems to be essential for high growth rates.

**Importance of *Halteria cf. grandinella* as a consumer of pico- and nanoplankton**

Similar to marine coastal environments, in which small, oligotrichous ciliates have been identified as a major component of protozooplankton (Smetacek 1981, Vaqué et al. 1997), oligotrichs are a numerically important ciliate group in freshwater plankton (e.g., Beaver & Crisman 1989, Müller 1989, Macek et al. 1996). They can dominate in oligotrophic systems, here with mixotrophy as an adaptation (Stoecker et al. 1987, Bernard & Rassoulzadegan 1994, Laybourn-Parry 1994), as well as in eutrophic systems, where they benefit from the wide prey spectrum they can exploit. Small freshwater oligotrichs are mainly represented by the taxa *Halteria*, *Pelagohalteria* and *Strobilidium* (now *Rimostrombidium*) (Foissner et al. 1999). For these genera, high ingestion rates of hetero- and autotrophic picoplankton have been measured with fluorescently labelled prey particles (Simek et al. 1995, 1996, Stabell 1996). Especially the bacterial consumption of *Halteria*, most probably *H. cf. grandinella*, can account for a major portion of total protozoan bacterivory (Simek et al. 1995, 2000). Based on the measured ingestion rates of bacteria and picoalgae, it has been argued that *Halteria* could achieve relatively high growth rates on a pure diet of picoplankton (Simek et al. 1996).

The measured size-dependent differences in particle uptake in our experiments suggest, however, that *Halteria cf. grandinella* is an omnivorous filter feeder with a feeding preference for nanoplanktonic organisms. To illustrate the potential contribution of different food particles, we used the functional response data with realistic *in situ* concentrations of bacteria, autotrophic picoplankton and nanoalgae in meso-eutrophic lakes (Fig. 8). The microsphere size 2.76 µm corresponds to the size of nanoalgae for which we considered a concentration range of 20 to \(100 \times 10^3\) ml\(^{-1}\). The 1 µm beads correspond (in volume) to the mean size of *Synechococcus* sp. (e.g., Simek et al. 1995), for which we considered concentrations between 0.5 and \(20 \times 10^5\) as realistic (e.g., Weisse 1993). The 0.47 µm beads correspond in volume to the mean size of planktonic bacteria, and we considered a concentration range of 2 to \(20 \times 10^6\) ml\(^{-1}\). It is obvious that for the whole particle (and biovolume) concentration range, *H. cf. grandinella* would derive the major portion of its food from nanophytoplankton. APP could be significant at high concentrations, whereas bacteria would account only for a small portion of ingested matter despite high bacterial consumption rates (Fig. 8). However, natural situations can significantly deviate from this model due to...
different proportions of the actual food spectrum found. For instance, in the Sau Reservoir where Halteria spp. was quite abundant (up to 105 ind. ml⁻¹), piccolaeeae had only very low abundance (Šimek et al. 2000). However, this system has increased concentrations of large bacteria, bacterial filaments and flocs, and detritus particles (Šimek unpubl. obs.) which potentially serve as additional food for Halteria spp.

Omnivorous feeding seems to be widespread among planktonic and benthic ciliates (Fenchel 1986, Pfister & Arndt 1998) and has to be considered when defining the functional role of planktonic ciliates. By using the whole particle size range from 0.5 to 5 µm efficiently, Halteria cf. grandinella feeds on several trophic levels (bacteria, nanoprotists, algae, detritus). This might be a selective advantage compared to specialized bacterivorous and algivorous ciliates and result in the widespread occurrence and often numerical dominance of Halteria spp. in freshwater plankton.
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